

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO

10/633,587

SUITE 700

08/05/2003

Yoon-Young Kim

1594.1286

ART UNIT

3744

7590

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

08/12/2004

STAAS & HALSEY LLP 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. **EXAMINER**

TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/633,587	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William E. Tapolcai	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' stute, cause the application to become ABA	uply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	<u>3 July 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-35 is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,8 and 26-28</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 9-25</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
,	accepted or b)⊡ objected to l		
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the process of the grant of th			ge
application from the International But			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	
Attachment(s)	A	tummany (PTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	· —	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	/08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20030805</u> .	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/633,587

Art Unit: 3744

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, 8, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motoyama et al in view of Jaster. Motoyama et al, especially in Fig. 4, discloses the claimed invention except for the recited order of the expanding units and the evaporators. Jaster teaches a refrigeration system having several expanding units 17, 19, 11, each of which is followed by an evaporator 18, 20, 12. It would be obvious to modify Motoyama et al so that the first evaporator 10 follows right after the first expanding unit 16, in view of Jaster, for the purpose of generating more cooling from the evaporator 10.
- 3. Claims 5, 6, and 9-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on July 13, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the various groups of claims are all closely related enough so that they can all be examined together. This is not found persuasive because the various groups still contain enough different details such that a proper examination of all of the groups would entail a significant amount of time.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,587 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

5. Claims 29-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on July 13, 2004.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (703) 308-2640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William E. Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744 Application/Control Number: 10/633,587

Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

wet

August 11, 2004